UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,314	10/27/2003	Edgar Hoppe	070255.0630	3551
31625 BAKER BOTT	7590 05/09/200 S L.L.P.	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPA	ARTMENT	NGUYEN BA, HOANG VU A		
98 SAN JACIN AUSTIN, TX 7	TO BLVD., SUITE 15 8701-4039	J	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, in the second			2623	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/694,314	HOPPE ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Hoang-Vu A. Nguyen-Ba	2623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AI	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviral (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropriat nally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed.	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS The proposed emendment(s) filed often a final rejection by	out prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	001100
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	-		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Hoang-Vu Antony Nguy Primary Examiner, Art U		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants essentially submitted that the poll data 310 is not an information transmission in a broadcast standard; that at no time does the set top box feed this received information into the network; and at best the set top client 110 can be interpreted to feed an answer to server system 200 and however, an answer is a completely different transmission and is certainly not identical with poll 310.

In response, it is respectfully noted that the interpretation of the claimed "information transmissions" by the Office is one of transmissions of information. Thus, producing transmissions of information is interpreted as sending information. Information can be poll data since the claims and the specification do not explicitly define what the information is or what the information transmissions are. Furthermore, the claimed "broadcast standard" is interpreted as the known broadcast in the art which is:

Definitions of broadcast on the Web:

o The sending of one transmission to multiple users in a defined group (compare to unicast). www.loftcom.com/glossary.htm

The set top box feeds the information i(e.g.,, information such as questions and answers about a TV program) in the poll data from and to the server via the network (see FIG. 2 and Office action). It is noted that even though an answer is not a question however, the answers and questions relate to the same TV program information which is contained in the sent questions from the server and in the returned answer from the set top box.

In view of the above interpretation, the rejection of claims 1, 16 17, 19 and all the dependent claims therefrom is considered proper and maintained.

2